

Biomarkers to Inform Clinical Medicine - A Case Study

CORINNE E GRIGUER, PH.D. DEPARTMENT OF RADIATION-ONCOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

Target Disease for the biomarker

- Newly diagnosed glioblastoma
- Mean survival 12-14 months from diagnosis
- Mean survival 4-5 months from recurrence
- 2 year survival 10%
- Recurrence occurs within 2-3 cm of the margins of the original tumor in 90% of patients

Current Biomarker for GBM: MGMT



Methyl-MGMT (good prognosis)	Un-Methyl-MGMT (poor prognosis)	
OS= 22 Months	OS= 12 Months	
70% (1 year survival)	52% (1 year survival)	

3

Cytochrome c Oxidase: Inside the mitochondria



4

Cytochrome C Oxidase (CcO)

- Catalyzes the reduction of oxygen by reduced cytochrome c
- 13 subunits, 10 encoded by nDNA and 3 by mtDNA
- All catalytic subunits are coded by Mt DNA
- Dysfunction of CcO structure/function are the most severe mt related diseases
- Metabolic disorders in early childhood (Brain, Heart and Muscle)
 - Leigh Syndrome
 - Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy
 - Myopathy



5



Supported by NINDS R25NS088248 U01NS077352



Evidences that Cytochrome C Oxidase activity is associated with poor outcome in glioblastoma patients



8

Generation of TMZ Resistant Human Glioma Cells





Tissue from GBM Patients



Tissues from First Diagnosis NO Treatment

11

Tissues from Recurrent GBM Radiotherapy and TMZ

Supported by NINDS R25NS088248 U01NS077352







Main Criteria to Assess a Biomarker (1)



TP the number of diseased subjects that are correctly identified as disease (outcome positive&test positive)

- TN the number of healthy subjects that are correctly identified as healthy (outcome negative & test negative)
- FP the number of healthy subjects that are incorrectly identified as diseased (outcome negative&test positive)
- FN the number of diseased subjects that are incorrectly identified as healthy (outcome positive&test negative)

Supported by NINDS R25NS088248 U01NS077352

Main Criteria to Assess a Biomarker (2)



- Sensitivity = TP/(TP / FN)
- Specificity = TN/(TN / FP)
- Sensitivity can be considered as the probability of a positive test result given that a subject has an actual positive outcome
- Specificity can be considered as the probability of a negative test result given that a subject has an actual negative outcome

Area Under the Curve: Good or Bad



- Plots sensitivity (%TP) vs. specificity (%TN)
- A poor ROC curve would be a straight line with a slope of 1
- The area under an ROC (AUROC) curve is a good measure of the quality of the biomarker
- AUCs of >0.75 are good, AUCs of 0.5 are terrible, AUCs of 1.00 are perfect

Supported by NINDS R25NS088248 U01NS077352

AUCs of Common Tests



18

IDH Mutation in Glioma



ROC Curve: Sensitivity 0.76 Specificity 0.8 AUC 0.8 50 patients

Lombardi G, 2015

Supported by NINDS R25NS088248 U01NS077352



Comparison MGMT versus CcO in retrospective setting

Table 1: Performance of MGMT promoter methylation status and CcO activity as risk prediction models

2

Methyl-MGMT (good prognostic)	UnMethyl-MGMT (poor prognostic)	Low CcO (good prognostic)	High CcO (poor prognostic)
OS = 22 months	OS = 12 months	OS =14- 20 months	OS = 6.3, 6.5 months
Hegi et al 2005	Hegi et al. 2005	Griguer, et al., 2013	Griguer, et al., 2013
1 Year survival = 70%	1 Year survival = 52%	1 Year survival = 61%	1 Year survival = 0%

Why a prospective biomarker trial?

First trial was performed in a <u>retrospective</u> manner and consisted of relatively <u>small numbers of patients.</u>

- Patients had been adjunctively treated using either pre-Stupp or post-Stupp regimens.
- In a prospective trial, all patients will receive the post-Stupp standard of care therapy
- Since ~30% of patients have high CcO activity (poor risk) at diagnosis, it is important to control for the uneven distribution
- If the prospective biomarker study is confirmatory, it will likely have a major impact on how subsequent interventional trials will be conducted.
- Defining CcO activity will intensify development of targeted therapeutics.

23

Reproducibility of CcO assay

А 12 CcO/CS Patient ID 1048 1020 1051 1052 1074 1083 1273 Mean 4.6 8,96 2.3 2.43 4.53 2.58 2.2 SD 0.54 1.43 0.44 0.16 0.69 0.77 0.63 SEM 0.11 0.50 0.12 0.08 0.22 0.20 0.18 Figure 5: Intra-tumor distribution of CcO/Cs value. (A) CcO/CS ratio from different pieces of the same tumor (Mean ± SD). Each black dot represents the CcO/CS value from a different piece of the same tumor. Red dots represent the CcO/CS value determined for the same tumor during our retrospective clinical trial (25). (B) Bar in each graphs shows the CcO/CS ratio from different pieces of the same tumors. Bars represent the results of at least two independent determinations ± SEM. P value from test of within-subjects effects, repeated measures ANOVA.



Intra-tumor Heterogeneity of CcO

Activity



23

Figure 6: Intra- and inter-tumor distribution of CcO/Cs value. Ten whole tumors from Jx39, a xenoline with low CcO/CS ratio, and ten whole tumors from Jx39TMZ, a xenoline with high CcO/CS ratio. The tumors were collected from mice between 09/2013 and 02/2015 and divided in approximately 50-mg pieces prior to analysis (Jx39, 35 pieces; Jx39TMZ, 32 pieces).

25

Accuracy and precision of Cco assay

Figure 7: Summary of statistical analysis to assess the precision and accuracy of CcO activity assay (A); Scatter diagram of Spearman correlation with line of equality (B).





Supported by NINDS R25NS088248 U01NS077352

Effect of incubation time/buffer on CcO activity from normal brain tissue



The NeuroNEXT Network

- Clinical Coordinating Center (CCC) at MGH
- Data Coordinating Center (DCC) at the University of lowa

- Clinical Study Sites (CSS) at 25 academic institutions
- Central IRB (CIRB)
- Master Clinical Trial Agreements (MCTA) with all CSS



The NEXT Generation of Neurologic Treatments NIH-Network for Excellence in Neuroscience Clinical Trials

NN- 106



Prospective Study of Cytochrome C Oxidase Activity as a Novel Biomarker In Subjects With Newly Diagnosed Primary Glioblastoma Multiforme

NINDS-U01NS093663





THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA



NN-106 TEAM

29

UAB Component:

- James Markert
- Melanie Benge
- Burt Nabors
- James Hackney
- Robbie Minor
- Roger Packer

DCC Component:

- Christopher Coffey
- Dixie Ecklund
- Michael Bosch
- Eric Foster

CCC Component:

- Merit Cudkowicz
- Brenda Thornell
- Marianne Kearney
 Operations
- Katy Mahoney
- Melisa Ricker

UI- Rad-Onc.:

- Corinne E Griguer
- Claudia Oliva
- Kelly Bodeker

Role: Neurosurgeon Co.Pi Role: Clinical Coordinator Role: Disease Specialist Role: Central Pathology

Role: Patient Advocate (ABTA) Role: Disease Specialist (CNMC)

Role: DCC Lead Director Role: Associate Director Role: NN DCC Coordinator Role: NN DCC lead Biostatistician

Role: CCC Lead Director Role: CCC Project Manager Role: CCC Director of Research

Role: Project Manager Role: Ass. Project Manager

Role: Contact Pl Role: Assays specialist Role: Regulatory specialist

NN-106 Clinical Sites

- VAB
- UTSW
- Utah
- ► CUMC
- Rochester
- Cincinnati
- Northwestern
- Miami

- OHSU
- VPMC
- Einstein Montefiore
- Suny SB
- Swedish HS
- Wash U
- OSU
- UC Davis

- Kansas
- Vanderbilt
- MGH



To define CcO activity as a novel prognostic biomarker for GBM patients receiving standard of care therapy

Primary Objective

32

To demonstrate that overall survival (OS) inversely correlates with Cytochrome c Oxidase (CcO) activity in newly diagnosed primary GBM tumors, treated by standard of care

Secondary Objectives

- To study the relation between CcO activity and progression free survival times (PFS; time intervals from dates of diagnosis to documented disease progression by MRI or tumor-related death)
- To compare the prognostic abilities of CcO activity to other frequently used biomarkers, namely the methylation status of O6methylguanine–DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) on OS and PFS

Inclusion Criteria: <u>Subject Characteristics</u>

Willingness to undergo a maximal debulking surgery and radiotherapy concomitant with temozolomide at initial diagnosis

- Willingness and ability to provide written informed consent and to comply with the study protocol as judged by the investigator.
- ► Age \geq 21 years.
- KPS score ≥60
- No history of other malignancies except adequately treated nonmelanoma skin cancer, curatively treated in situ cancer of the cervix, or other curatively treated solid tumors with no evidence of disease for at least 5 years
- No serious active infection (e.g., wound infection requiring parenteral antibiotics) or other serious underlying medical conditions that would preclude study treatment
- No other condition (e.g., psychological or geographical) that would preclude study compliance

Inclusion Criteria: <u>Disease Characteristics</u>

Histologically confirmed newly diagnosed Primary GBM before treatment using WHO classification criteria

- Viability of tumor tissue representative of GBM ≥ 70 mg, snap-frozen within 30 minutes of resection [no more than 10 minutes on ice (4°C)]
- All subjects must have received safe gross resection followed by standard radiation with concomitant Temozolomide taken during the course of radiation therapy

Exclusion Criteria

- Inability to fulfill the requirements of the protocol
- Any serious disease or condition that, in the opinion of the investigator, would compromise the subject's ability to participate in the study.
- Secondary GBM or other gliomas.
- History of sensitivity to Temodar.
- Planned upfront treatment with any anti-angiogenic agent targeting the VEGF pathway including but not limited to bevacizumab, cediranib, vandetanib, sunitinib, pazopanib, aflibercept, or sorafenib or any immunotherapy regimen.
- GLIADEL wafers in combination with surgical resection.

Trial Design Roadmap

37



*Can include Optune™ or any other treatment with Temozolomide (TMZ) and Radiation therapy (RT), with the <u>exception</u> of Avastin and any immunotherapy regimen

Laboratory Data Flow



Updates

40



- Consented subjects:
- Eligible subjects (sites):
- Eligible (central):
- Ineligible subjects:

259 •

154

152

104

- 24 Month Extraction:
- Early Termination:

Death :

- 84
- 8 1



Definition of subpopulation of GBM with high CcO (short term survival) will facilitate the design of future clinical trial for testing new drugs against GBM. For example, it is possible that a drug may show benefit in one subgroup but not the other.

Selective inhibitors of CcO are in pre-clinical development. Envision to be beneficial for the High CcO/low OS GBM patient population.



Lab Alumni

Tara Markert, Undergraduate Alan Mao, Undergraduate Su Bo, Ph.D. Post-doc Cathy L Langford Tatyana Isayeva, Ph.D. Post-doc Woong-Jai Won, Ph.D, Postdoc

Collaborators

<u>UAB, Neurology/Neurosurgery</u> G.Yancey Gillespie, Ph.D. Burt Nabors, MD James Markert, MD

<u>Division of Neurosurgery, Geneva</u> <u>University Hospitals Switzerland</u>. Ivan Radovanovic, MD-Ph.D

<u>Mayo Clinic</u> Jann Sarkaria, MD- Ph.D.

<u>NN106 trial</u> Merit Cudkowicz MD (Mass General) Chris Coffey Ph.D. (UI)

Melisa Ricker (Mass General) Tina Neil-Hudson (UI) Melanie Benge (UAB)

- > Douglas Spitz, Ph.D.
- > UI Free Radical Research Core
 - 🕨 Amanda Kalen
 - > Michael Mc Cormick



- Yousuf Md Ali, MS
- Trieu Son
- Claudia Oliva, Ph.D.

Тне Ш

UNIVERSITY

OF IOWA





National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke

Alabama Drug Discovery Alliance (CCTS grant)
NCI-R21CA139290
NCI-R01CA160821
NINDS-U01NS093663
NINDS-R21NS100054